Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/628,259	HWU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOHN PAK	1616
All Participants:	rticipants: Status of Application:	
(1) <u>JOHN PAK</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Y. ROCKY TSAO</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 February 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 2/4/2008 and 2/7/2008, Mr. Tsao authorized an Examiner's Amendment, as set forth in the attached Office action. Additionally, Mr. Tsao stated that applicant agrees with and accepts the claim interpretation that ingredients (B) and (C) are different compounds or substances, i.e. (B) and (C) are not the same.